

Date: 20070608

File: 485-HC-33

Citation: 2007 PSLRB 61



*Parliamentary Employment and
Staff Relations Act*

Before the Public Service
Labour Relations Board

IN THE MATTER OF
THE *PARLIAMENTARY EMPLOYMENT AND STAFF RELATIONS ACT*
and a dispute affecting the
Professional Institute of the Public Service of Canada,
as bargaining agent,
and the House of Commons, as employer,
in respect of all employees in the Procedural Sub-Group and Analysis/Reference
Sub-Group in the Parliamentary Programs Group

Indexed as
Professional Institute of the Public Service of Canada v. House of Commons

**MANDATE OF THE BOARD WITH RESPECT TO THE
DISPUTE REFERRED TO ARBITRATION**

To: Michele A. Pineau, Claude Rioux and Mary Ann Griffith,
Members of the Board for the purposes of the arbitration of the above-cited
matter

For the Bargaining Agent: André Lortie, Professional Institute of the Public
Service of Canada

For the Employer: Carole Piette, counsel
Marie-Josée Lacroix, House of Commons

(Decided without an oral hearing)

[1] By letter dated May 8, 2007 and pursuant to section 50 of the *Parliamentary Employment and Staff Relations Act (PESRA)*, the Professional Institute of the Public Service of Canada filed an application for arbitration in respect of the bargaining unit consisting of “all employees of the employer in the Procedural Sub-Group and the Analysis/Reference Sub-Group in the Parliamentary Programs Group.”

[2] With its application dated May 8, 2007, the Professional Institute of the Public Service of Canada provided a list of the terms and conditions of employment that it wished to have referred to arbitration. That letter, the terms and conditions of employment and the supporting material are attached as SCHEDULE I.

[3] By letter dated May 16, 2007, the House of Commons (“the employer”) provided additional terms and conditions of employment that it wished to have referred to arbitration. That letter and related documentation are attached as SCHEDULE II.

[4] The Professional Institute of the Public Service of Canada adduced additional arguments in response to the employer by letter dated May 29, 2007, attached as SCHEDULE III.

[5] Accordingly, pursuant to section 52 of the *PESRA*, the matters in dispute on which the Board is to render an arbitral award are those set out in the attached SCHEDULES I to III.

June 8, 2007.

**Casper Bloom Q.C., Ad. E.,
Chairperson**